

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/963,684 09/27/2001 Yoshinori Kano 492322002100 EXAMINER 7590 12/06/2004 PRONE, JASON D Barry E. Bretschneider Morrison & Foerster LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1650 Tysons Blvd. Ste. 300 3724 McLean, VA 22102-3915 DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/963,684	KANO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Prone	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in reply within the statutory minimum of this iniod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO lature, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
	8 October 2004	
	This action is non-final.	
20,2		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quavle 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
ciosed in accordance with the practice and	o. In parto daglo, 1000 o.	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in th	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 7,13 and 14 is/are rejected.	÷ ÷o.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	· 1	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner	. Yo.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2001		objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docun 		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)	* *	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	" Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "plurality of fins" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3724

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 7, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. On line 6 of claim 7, the phrase "a plurality of heat dissipation fins" is unclear. Applicant stated that support for these fins occurs on lines 14-21 of page 6.

Reference numeral 71 denotes a heat dissipation fin (heat dissipation portion) for making the heat dissipation area larger. The heat dissipation fin 71 extrudes from the vertical portion 30 of the slide block 23, faces the rib portion 32, and forms a unitary unit with the slide block 23. The slide block 23 including the heat dissipation fin 71 is made of the material with efficient thermal conduction such as aluminum for dissipating heat from the moving member 48. The lateral length of the heat dissipation fin 71 (the lateral length viewing from the front side of the electronic component mounting instrument) is about the same length of the moving member 48.

This paragraph, as well as the rest of the specification, only supports a single fin. There is no support for a plurality of fins. Also, the Figures only show a single fin (71). The addition, of a plurality of fins, to the claims is new matter.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claims 7, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 5. In regards to line 6 of claim 7, the phrase "a plurality of heat dissipation fins" is unclear. It is uncertain what structure the additional fins incorporate and where there are located in reference to the original fin on the unit base.
- 6. In regards to claim 14, the phrase "the heat dissipation fins are disposed on a sidewall of the unit base" is unclear. In Figure 2, the fins (71) are clearly located on an interior portion of the unit base not on a sidewall and not near a side that could be considered a sidewall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 7, 13, and 14 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 61-239696 (Japanese Patent). 61-239696 discloses the same invention including at least one unit base capable of carrying at least one unit (15), a platen for sliding the unit base (11), a linear motor comprising at least one stationary member mounted on the platen and a moving member mounted on the unit base (Fig. 2), a heat dissipation fin formed on the unit base (23), that the heat dissipation fin is disposed parallel with a direction of sliding movement (23), and that the heat dissipation

fin is disposed on a side wall of the unit base so as to be perpendicular to the sidewall (Fig. 2).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 18 October 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is old and well known that any type of fin-like structure projecting off of a base structure is capable of performing a heat dissipating function. Looking at Figure 2 of 61-239696, item "23" clearly has a fin-like structure and is perfectly capable of acting as a fin to the base structure (15). Regardless of the disclosed function, item "23" is still capable of dissipating some amount of heat.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 571-272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP

December 1, 2004

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700